

# International Journal of Agricultural and Applied Sciences, December 2022, 3(2): 46-49 https://www.agetds.com/ijaas

ISSN: 2582-8053

https://doi.org/10.52804/ijaas2022.328



# **Research Article**



Response of grafts of different scion varieties on the different rootstock of mango (Mangifera indica L.) under nursery conditions

Srinivas, N\*, Deepa Shree P, Kulapti Hipparagi, Basavaraj Padashetti, Ashok Surwenshi, Anand G Patil and Arunkumar Kamble

Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, Bidar affiliated to the University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkote- 587104, Karnataka, India

Corresponding author e-mail: srinivashort@gmail.com

(Received: 28/07/2022; Revised: 24/10/2022; Accepted: 05/11/2022)

#### **ABSTRACT**

The investigation was carried out at the College of Horticulture, Bidar to study the "Performance of grafts of different scion varieties on the different rootstock of mango (Mangifera indica L.) under nursery conditions" with thirty-six treatments comprising of twelve rootstocks (Kurukkan, Kitchner, Olour, EC-95862, Kensington, Peach, Nekkare, Muvandan, Bappakai, Mylepelian, Starch and Local) and three scions (Baneshan, Dashehari and Kesar). Among different rootstocks, Nekkare registered minimum days for sprouting, maximum sprout length, graft success, the height of grafted plant and number of leaves per graft (12.47 days, 6.08 cm, 89.17%, 29.4 cm and 18.33 respectively) whereas the maximum scion girth and root-collar diameter was registered with Bappakai (5.67 and 6.57mm respectively). In scion varieties Kesar recorded minimum days for the emergence of sprouts (15.92 days), maximum sprout length (5.39 cm), graft success (85.83 %), the height of grafted plant (26.74 cm), scion girth (5.55 mm) and root-collar diameter (6.51mm). In the case of different rootstock scion combinations, Nekkare grafted with Kesar recorded a minimum number of days taken for sprouting (11.4 days), maximum sprout length (7.15 cm), graft success (95 %), the height of grafted plant (33.55 cm) and several leaves per graft (19.7) whereas, scion girth and root-collar diameter were found non-significant.

**Keywords:** Rootstock, Scion, Stionic combination, mango, grafting success.

#### INTRODUCTION

Mango belongs to the family Anacardiaceae with having chromosome number of 2n=40. Mango is native to the Indo-Burma region and is a centre of origin of mango. The fruit is widely accepted as the king of fruit by knowing its adaptability and nutritional value. Hence, In India, It has the privilege of being 'National fruit. The fruit is being utilized at every developmental stage for one or another purpose like pickling and table purpose. Further, ripe fruits are utilized for preparing fresh invigorating and refreshing drinks. In India mango leads in production and area. leads with an area of 23.13 lakh ha and in production with 223.53 lakh tonnes (Anon., 2019). It is grown in almost all states of India. Andhra Pradesh stands first in the area with 3.33 lakh ha and second in production with 45.40 lakh tonnes (Anon., 2019)

However, from the nursery perspective of raising mango plant saplings, farmers and nurserymen raise through stones due to its highly cross-pollinated nature; wide variability exists in the progeny and is a limitation for commercial orcharding. The plant takes 8-10 years for fruiting and the canopy of the plant to become large. But moving up in the technology of multiplication, now it has become simple to multiply mango by different vegetative grafting methods. The original superior mango varieties of seed origin still exist in various parts of the country but the occurrence of promising types is frequent as mango is cross-pollinated. To obtain true-to-type progeny and to establish uniformity in plant growth, there is a need to propagate mango by vegetative means.

To obtain successful graft union, rootstocks must be strong, healthy and vigorous (Patel et al. 2017) and such rootstock shows a greater effect on production efficiency and yield. However, the stionic relationship is very important for the production of the vegetative method of propagation. If the rootstock is from a tall plant and the scion is from a dwarf plant or vice versa, the graft union may occur but the growth of both stock and scion may not be uniform. The difference in uniformity may affect the growth and development of plants (Bhuiyan et al. 2010).

Increasing demand for the best planting material, there is a necessity to raise a seedling/rootstock, to ensure higher graft success, better growth and stem girth, along with good field establishment.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

### **Description of the experiment**

The investigation was conducted at College of Horticulture, Bidar which is situated in North-Eastern transitional zone of Karnataka (Zone- I) located at 17<sup>0</sup> 53' North latitude, 77° 32' East longitude and at an altitude of 576.28 m above the mean sea level during 2019-2020 to study the performance of grafts of different scion varieties on the different rootstock of mango (Mangifera indica L.) under nursery conditions with thirty-six treatments comprising of twelve rootstocks (Kurukkan, Kitchner, Olour, EC-95862, Kensington, Peach, Nekkare, Muvandan, Bappakai, Mylepelian, Starch and Local) over three scions (Baneshan, Dashehari and Kesar) varieties. In this context, the experiment was carried out using a factorial randomized complete block design with two replications. The following observations were recorded for sprouting, sprout length (cm) at 15, 30 and 45 DAG, graft success (%) at 15, 30 and 45 DAG, the height of grafted plant (cm) at 15, 30 and 45 DAG, scion girth (mm) at 30, 60 and 90 DAG, root-collar diameter (mm) at 30, 60 and 90 DAG and number of leaves per graft at 30, 60 and 90 DAG were recorded.

#### Statistical analysis

The data recorded on graft parameters were subjected to Fisher's method of analysis of variance and the interpretation of data was done as given by Panse and Sukhatme (1967).

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the performance of the plants produced by grafting with different rootstock-scion combinations have been presented and discussed. The results of different graft parameters have been discussed. 3.1. Number of days taken for the emergence of sprouts Among different rootstocks and scion varieties, Nekkere (R<sub>7</sub>) (12.47 days) and Kesar (15.92 days) recorded a minimum number of days for the emergence of sprouts respectively. In the case of different treatment combinations, the lowest number of days for the emergence of sprouts (11.40 days) was registered in R<sub>7</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (Nekkere + Kesar) and the highest was registered with R<sub>5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (Kensington + Dashehari) (21.30 days) and R<sub>11</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (Starch + Dashehari) (21.30 days). This wide variation might be due to differences in the vigour of various rootstocks and the heterozygous nature. The ideal relative humidity and temperature also play a crucial role in the early emergence of sprouts by avoiding the drying up of buds and inducing sap flow in grafts. Similar results were documented by Jana (2007) in mango and Somkuwar et al. (2009) in grapes.

### Sprout length

The rootstock  $R_7$  (Nekkere) (6.08 cm) and scion variety  $S_3$  (Kesar) (5.39 cm) registered the highest sprout length at 45 days after grafting. Among different interaction effects, the treatment combination  $R_7S_3$  (Nekkere + Kesar) registered the highest sprout length (7.15 cm). This maximal sprout length might be due to better initial graft union formation, the vigorous activity of vascular cambium and proper healing of union which helped in early sprouting. Similar results were documented by Satisha et al. (2004) in grapes.

#### **Graft success**

The rootstock Nekkere (R<sub>7</sub>) (89.17 %) and scion variety Kesar (S<sub>3</sub>) (85.83 %) registered the maximal graft success. The interaction effect of rootstocks and varieties was not influenced for graft success. This divergence in the rate of graft success might be due to prevailing temperature (minimum, optimum and maximum), relative humidity and also genetic variations influencing histological and physiological developments within the shoots (Maiti and Biswas, 1980). Findings are in confirmation with Chandrashekar (1982) in mango, Veeraraghavan (1990) in cashew and Kaur (2018) in sapota.

# Height of grafted plant

The rootstock R<sub>7</sub> (Nekkere) (29.40 cm) and scion variety S<sub>3</sub> (Kesar) (26.74 cm) recorded the highest plant height. Among different rootstocks, R<sub>7</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (Nekkere + Kesar) registered the maximum height of grafted plant (33.55 cm). The height of the grafted plant is highly determined by growth parameters like leaf number and leaf area which helped in better production and synthesis of food material. The higher the leaf number more will be the photosynthetic rate which in turn helps in better growth of graft. Similar results were documented by Bobade et al. (2018) and Bose et al. (2019) in mango.

#### Scion girth

Among different rootstocks, the maximum scion girth was registered with R<sub>9</sub> (Bappakai) (5.67 mm). This better increase in scion girth might be due to the compatibility of the rootstock and scion with enhanced vigorous vascular cambium activity resulting in rapid graft union combined with conducive climatic conditions and higher leaf number which synthesize more food both in stock and scion. Similar results were documented by Bharatbhai et al. (2013) and Sukhjit Kaur et al. (2017) in mango. Scion girth was found non-significant for different scion varieties and the interaction effect between rootstock and scion.

#### Root collar diameter

Among different rootstocks, scion varieties and interaction effects on root collar diameter not influenced. However, the maximum root collar diameter was recorded with rootstock R<sub>9</sub> (Bappakai) (6.57 mm), scion variety (Kesar) (6.51 mm) and among interaction effects, the maximum root collar diameter was recorded with R<sub>7</sub>S<sub>3</sub> and R<sub>9</sub>S<sub>1</sub> (5.75 mm) at 30 DAG and R<sub>9</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (6.32 mm) at 60 DAG and R<sub>9</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (6.71 mm) at 90 DAG and the minimum was recorded with R<sub>11</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (5.34, 5.89 and 5.97

mm) at 30, 60 and 90 DAG. This might be due to differential reduced variations in the growth of scion and rootstocks. Similar results were documented by Minja et al. (2017).

# Number of leaves per graft

The rootstock  $R_7$  (Nekkere) and scion variety  $S_3$  (Kesar) (16.88/ graft) registered the highest number of leaves (18.33 /graft). In the case of interactions, the maximum number of leaves per graft (16.20/graft) was registered

with  $R_7S_3$  (Nekkere + Kesar). This increase in the number of leaves might be due to the vigorous growth of seedlings and high photosynthates accumulation in newly grafted plants which in turn helps in increased absorption of nutrients and production of a greater number of leaves on the grafted plant. Similar results were documented by Jana (2007) and Ram et al. (2012) in mango.

**Table 1.** Effect of different mango rootstocks and scion combinations on days for sprout emergence, sprout length and graft success

	Days for emergence of sprouts				Sprout length				Graft success			
Treatment				45 DAG				45 DAG				
	$S_1$	$S_2$	$S_3$	Mean	$S_1$	$S_2$	$S_3$	Mean	$S_1$	$S_2$	$S_3$	Mean
$\mathbf{R}_1$	17.00°	17.60°	16.50°	$17.03^{\rm f}$	$5.18^{d}$	$4.40^{e}$	$4.80^{e}$	$4.79^{f}$	82.50	82.50	90.00	85.55 <sup>b</sup>
$\mathbb{R}_2$	17.70°	$18.10^{b}$	$18.40^{b}$	$18.07^{d}$	4.71e	4.34e	$5.04^{d}$	$4.70^{g}$	70.00	70.00	90.00	$76.67^{d}$
$\mathbb{R}_3$	17.10 <sup>c</sup>	16.40 <sup>c</sup>	15.60 <sup>d</sup>	16.37 <sup>g</sup>	5.11 <sup>d</sup>	$4.96^{d}$	$5.35^{d}$	5.14 <sup>d</sup>	85.00	72.50	85.00	80.83°
$\mathbb{R}_4$	$18.00^{b}$	$18.00^{b}$	16.90°	17.63e	4.67e	$4.87^{d}$	5.59°	5.04 <sup>e</sup>	70.00	75.00	92.50	$79.17^{d}$
$R_5$	$18.50^{b}$	21.30a	$19.00^{b}$	19.60 <sup>b</sup>	$4.62^{e}$	4.67e	$4.30^{e}$	4.59 <sup>g</sup>	67.50	65.00	75.00	69.17 <sup>e</sup>
$R_6$	$18.80^{b}$	$18.60^{b}$	$19.10^{b}$	18.83°	$4.74^{e}$	$4.60^{e}$	$5.10^{d}$	4.81 <sup>f</sup>	67.50	60.00	72.50	66.67e
$\mathbb{R}_7$	12.70e	13.30e	$11.40^{\rm f}$	12.47 <sup>g</sup>	$5.37^{d}$	5.73°	$7.15^{a}$	$6.08^{a}$	85.00	67.50	95.00	89.17a
$R_8$	$16.00^{c}$	$14.20^{d}$	$14.90^{d}$	15.03g	5.21 <sup>d</sup>	5.45 <sup>d</sup>	$5.58^{c}$	5.41°	80.00	77.50	92.50	83.33 <sup>b</sup>
R <sub>9</sub>	13.30e	$12.30^{f}$	$11.90^{\rm f}$	12.50 <sup>g</sup>	$5.33^{d}$	$5.50^{\circ}$	$6.21^{b}$	5.68 <sup>b</sup>	85.00	80.00	95.00	86.67a
$\mathbf{R}_{10}$	13.00e	$14.30^{d}$	$12.30^{\rm f}$	13.20 <sup>h</sup>	$4.95^{d}$	$5.00^{d}$	5.84°	5.26 <sup>d</sup>	70.00	70.00	90.00	$76.67^{d}$
$R_{11}$	$19.00^{b}$	$21.30^{a}$	$20.40^{a}$	20.23 <sup>a</sup>	4.43e	$2.95^{\mathrm{g}}$	$3.70^{f}$	3.69 <sup>h</sup>	55.00	55.00	65.00	$58.33^{f}$
$R_{12}$	17.40°	$18.00^{b}$	$14.60^{d}$	16.67 <sup>f</sup>	4.75e	5.14 <sup>d</sup>	5.98°	5.29 <sup>d</sup>	82.50	77.50	87.50	82.50°
Mean	16.54 <sup>a</sup>	16.96 <sup>a</sup>	15.92 <sup>b</sup>		4.92 <sup>b</sup>	4.80 <sup>b</sup>	5.39a		75.00 <sup>b</sup>	72.71°	85.83a	
Comparison	$S.Em\pm$		CD (0.05)		S.Em ± CD (0.05)			S.Em ±		CD (0.0	5)	
R	0.18		0.51		0.07		0.19		1.14		3.26	
S	0.36	=	1.03		0.13	17	0.38		2.27		6.52	
$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{S}$	0.62		1.78		0.23	1	0.65		3.94		NS	
CV (%)	5.32				6.37	A			<b>7</b> .15			
R= Rootstocks	S= Scions											
R <sub>1</sub> - Kurukkan	R <sub>3</sub> - Olour R <sub>5</sub> -Kensington		R <sub>7</sub> - Nekl			-Bappakai R <sub>11</sub> -Starch			$S_1$ - Baneshan $S_3$ - Kesar			
R <sub>2</sub> - Kitchner	R <sub>4</sub> - EC-95862 R <sub>6</sub> - Peach		R <sub>8</sub> - Muv	$R_8$ - Muvandan $R_{10}$ -Mylepelian $R$				S <sub>2</sub> - Dashehari DAG- Days after Grafting				

Table 2. Effect of different mango rootstocks and scion combinations on height of grafted plant, scion girth and root collar diameter

	Height of grafted plant					Scion girth (mm)				Root collar diameter (mm)			
Treatment	45 DAG		90 DAG					90 DAG					
	$S_1$	$S_2$	$S_3$	Mean	$S_1$	$S_2$	S <sub>3</sub>	Mean	$S_1$	$S_2$	$S_3$	Mean	
$\mathbf{R}_1$	26.44°	25.41°	$26.82^{c}$	26.22 <sup>d</sup>	5.65	5.56	5.49	$5.57^{b}$	6.45	6.42	6.62	6.50	
$\mathbb{R}_2$	25.21°	25.95°	$26.70^{c}$	25.95 <sup>e</sup>	5.55	5.60	5.47	$5.54^{b}$	6.44	6.46	6.50	6.46	
$\mathbb{R}_3$	$26.79^{\circ}$	27.15°	28.41 <sup>b</sup>	27.45c	5.62	5.42	5.56	$5.53^{b}$	6.46	6.43	6.59	6.49	
$\mathbb{R}_4$	27.34°	25.90°	27.24°	26.82d	5.63	5.46	5.56	$5.55^{b}$	6.47	6.49	6.57	6.51	
$R_5$	25.55°	$24.97^{c}$	26.31°	25.61e	5.48	5.60	5.54	5.54 <sup>b</sup>	6.46	6.47	6.43	6.45	
$R_6$	21.50e	21.42e	$22.70^{d}$	$21.87^{g}$	5.56	5.53	5.44	5.51°	6.37	6.41	6.45	6.41	
$\mathbf{R}_7$	26.93°	27.72°	33.55a	$29.40^{a}$	5.44	5.63	5.69	$5.59^{b}$	6.53	6.42	6.45	6.47	
$R_8$	27.15°	$24.65^{d}$	25.71°	25.84e	5.59	5.51	5.60	$5.57^{b}$	6.39	6.54	6.52	6.48	
$\mathbf{R}_{9}$	27.04°	$28.47^{b}$	$29.24^{b}$	$28.25^{b}$	5.63	5.60	5.78	5.67a	6.37	6.71	6.63	6.57	
$\mathbf{R}_{10}$	25.20°	$23.08^{d}$	$28.40^{b}$	25.56e	5.48	5.48	5.54	$5.50^{c}$	6.40	6.52	6.42	6.45	
$\mathbf{R}_{11}$	$21.10^{e}$	$16.50^{\rm f}$	$21.40^{e}$	$19.67^{\rm h}$	5.39	5.31	5.33	$5.34^{d}$	6.52	5.97	6.44	6.31	
$\mathbf{R}_{12}$	24.65 <sup>d</sup>	$24.75^{d}$	$24.42^{d}$	24.61 <sup>h</sup>	5.49	5.50	5.58	5.52°	6.48	6.37	6.52	6.46	
Mean	25.41a	$24.66^{b}$	26.74a		5.54	5.52	5.55		6.44	6.43	6.51		
Comparison	S.Em ±		CD (0.05)		$S.Em \pm$		CD (0.05)		$S.Em \pm$		CD (0.05)		
R	0.29		0.84		0.03		0.07		0.04		NS		
S	0.58		1.67		0.05		N	NS		0.07		NS	
$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{S}$	1.01 2		90 0.09		.09	NS		0.13		NS			
CV (%)	5.58			2.20				2.73					
R= Rootstocks	S= Scions												
R <sub>1</sub> - Kurukkan	$\mathbf{R}_{3}$ - Olour $\mathbf{R}_{5}$ -Kensington						Starch						
R <sub>2</sub> - Kitchner	$\mathbf{R}_{4}$ - EC-95862 $\mathbf{R}_{6}$ - Peach $\mathbf{R}_{8}$ - Muvan			dan $\mathbf{R}_{10}$ -Mylepelian $\mathbf{R}_{12}$ -Local				S <sub>2</sub> - Dashehari <b>DAG-</b> Days after Grafting					

**Table 3.** Effect of different mango rootstocks and scion combinations on number of leaves per graft

Scion	1 0									
varieties	Number of leaves per graft (90 DAG)									
Rootstocks	$S_1$	$S_2$	$S_3$	Mean						
$\mathbf{R_1}$	16.30	15.2	0 16.80	$16.20^{\rm f}$						
$\mathbb{R}_2$	15.20	15.0	0 15.50	15.23 <sup>g</sup>						
$\mathbb{R}_3$	17.00	17.1	0 17.60	17.23e						
$\mathbb{R}_4$	18.00	17.5	0 18.00	17.83 <sup>b</sup>						
$R_5$	14.30	14.2	0 15.80	$14.77^{\rm h}$						
$\mathbf{R}_{6}$	12.90	12.4	0 13.30	$12.87^{i}$						
$\mathbb{R}_7$	17.90	17.4	0 19.70	18.33a						
$R_8$	17.20	16.8	0 18.00	17.33 <sup>d</sup>						
R <sub>9</sub>	17.60	17.1	0 18.40	17.70°						
$\mathbf{R}_{10}$	17.90	17.2	0 18.50	$17.87^{b}$						
$R_{11}$	12.40	11.9	0 13.10	$12.47^{j}$						
$R_{12}$	17.10	16.4	0 17.90	17.13 <sup>e</sup>						
Mean	16.15 <sup>b</sup>	15.7	1c 16.88a							
Comparison	$S.Em \pm$		CD (0	.05)						
R	0.10		0.28							
$\mathbf{S}$	0.19		0.56							
$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{S}$	0.34		NS							
CV (%)	2.92			101						
R= Rootstocks	S= Sci	ons	DAG- Days af	ter Grafting						
R <sub>1</sub> - Kurukkan	R <sub>3</sub> - Oloui		R <sub>5</sub> -Kensington R <sub>7</sub> - Nekker							
<b>R</b> ₀-Bappakai	R <sub>11</sub> -Stard	ch	S <sub>1</sub> - Baneshan	S <sub>3</sub> - Kesar						
R <sub>2</sub> - Kitchner	<b>R</b> <sub>4</sub> - EC-9		R <sub>6</sub> - Peach	R <sub>8</sub> - Muvandan						
$R_{10}$ -Mylepelian	R <sub>12</sub> -Loca	al	S <sub>2</sub> - Dashehari							
CONCLUCIO	N T									

#### **CONCLUSION**

From the present investigation, it can be concluded that the rootstocks named Olour, Kitchner, Bappakai and Nekkere performed best with respect to germination percentage, days taken for 50 per cent germination, root length and seedling height. Polyembryonic rootstocks Nekkere, Bappakai, Muvandan, EC-95862 Mylepelian showed higher seedling vigour whereas, Starch, Peach and Kitchner showed less seedling vigour. Extent of polyembryony was registered highest with Kurukkan and Peach. Among different scion varieties, Kesar outperformed Dashehari and Baneshan. In case of rootstock-scion combinations Nekkere grafted with Kesar was the best treatment with respect to number of days taken for emergence of sprout, sprout length, graft success, height of grafted plant, scion girth, root collar diameter and number of leaves per graft.

#### REFERENCES

- Anonymous, 2019. Indian Horticulture Database, NHB, Gurgaon, Haryana.
- Bharatbhai, Bijalkumar, P., and Tank, R. V. 2013, Response of mango varieties to epicotyls grafting on different rootstocks. M.Sc. Thesis, Navsari Agric. Univ., Navsari.
- Bhuiyan, M. F. A., Rahim, M. A., and Alam, M. S. 2010. Study on the growth of plants produced by epicotyls (stone) grafting with different rootstockscion combinations in mango. *J. Agro. for. Environ.*, **3**(2):163-166.

- Bobade, D. H., Ingole, R. H., and Kadam, A. S. 2018. Effect of different scion varieties of mango on growth and biomass production performance of stone grafts (*Mangifera indica L.*). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, **6**: 1642-1648.
- Bose, S. K., Ghosh, K., and Howlader, P. 2019. Effect of variety and rootstock age on the success and survivability of epicotyl grafting in mango. *J. Agric. Res. Adv.*, **1**(1): 13-25.
- Chandrasekhar, R. 1982. Studies on certain aspects of vegetative propagation of mango and guava. M.Sc. (Ag). Thesis Andhra Pradesh Agric. Univ., Hyderabad.
- Jana, B. R. 2007. Response of different mango cultivars to veneer grafting. *J. Res.*, **19**(1): 91-94.
- Kaur, S. 2017. Performance of grafts of different scion varieties on local desi rootstock of mango (Mangifera indica L.) under nursery conditions. Chem. Sci. Rev Lett., 6(21): 88-93.
- Kaur, S. 2018. Propagation studies in sapota (*Manilkara achras*) under Punjab conditions. M.Sc. Thesis, Punjab Agric Univ, Ludhiana.
- Maiti, S. C., and Biswas, P. 1980. Effect of scion variety and type of scion shoots on success of epicotyl grafting of mango. *Punjab Hort. J.*, **20**: 152-155.
- Minja R.R., Kimaro A. A., Mpanda, M., Moshy, S., Mwaijande, V., Ngereza, A., et al. 2017. Effects of rootstock type and scion cultivar on grafting success and growth of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) seedlings. *JEAI*, **16** (2): 1-9.
- Panse and Sukhatme, P. V. 1967. Statistical methods of Agricultural workers. Indian Counc. Agric. Res., New Delhi.
- Patel, R. J., Ahlawat, T. R., Patel, A. I., Amarcholi, J. J., Patel, B. B. and Sharma, K. 2017. Growth of mango (Mangifera indica L.) rootstock as influenced by pre-sowing treatments. J. Nat. Appl. Sci., 9(1): 582-586.
- Ram, R. B., Kumar, D., Sonkar, P., Lata R., and Meena, M. L. 2012. Standardisation of stone grafting in some mango cultivars under Lucknow conditions. *Hort. Flora Res. Spectr.*, **1**(2):165-167.
- Satisha, J., Shikamany, S. D and Somkumar, R. G. 2004. Variation in apical dominance in Thompson seedless vines grafted on different rootstocks. *Indian J. of Hort.*, **61**(4): 350-351.
- Somkumar, R. G., Satisha, J. and Ramteke, S. D. 2009. Graft performance of Thompson seedless grape through wedge grafting on different rootstocks. *Indian J. of Hort.*, **66**(3): 383-384.
- Veeraraghavan, P. G. 1990. Highlights of research and extension activities of the cashew research station, Madakkathara (Kerala). *The cashew*, **4**(2): 10-13.
- Citation: Srinivas, N, Deepa Shree P, Hipparagi, K.; Padashetti, B.; Surwenshi, A.; Patil, A.G. and Kamble, A. 2022. Response of grafts of different scion varieties on the different rootstock of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) under nursery conditions. *International Journal of Agricultural and Applied Sciences*, 3(2): 46-49. https://doi.org/10.52804/ijaas2022.328
- **Copyright:** © *Srinivas et al.* 2022. Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. IJAAS allows unrestricted use, reproduction, and distribution of this article in any medium by providing adequate credit to the author(s) and the source of publication.